arXiv:1607.05517v4 [math.NT] 21 Mar 2017

COUNTING PRIMES BY SUMS OF FREQUENCIES

ALEJANDRO MIRALLES[1] AND DAMIÀ TORRES

ABSTRACT. We introduce the sequence $(a_n) \subset (0,1]$ and prove that the asymptotic behaviour of $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$ is the same than $\pi(n)$, the prime-counting function. We also obtain that $\pi(n) \sim na_n$ and we estimate $\frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{n}{\pi(n)}$ showing that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{n}{\pi(n)}$ is convergent.

1. Introduction and background

1.1. **Introduction.** In this work we introduce the numbers $a_n \in (0,1]$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in two equivalent ways: first we use a sieve method which results in a subset $A_n \subset \{1, 2, \cdots n\}$ and then we consider the frequency $a_n = \frac{|A_n|}{n}$. We prove that this is equivalent to consider the recurrence sequence a_n given by $a_1 = 1$ and

$$a_n = a_{n-1}\left(1 - \frac{a_{n-1}}{n}\right)$$

as in Definition 1.8. We will prove that the asymptotic behaviour of the partial sums $c(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_n$ is the same than the prime counting function given by

$$\pi(n) = \#\{p \in \mathbb{N} : p \text{ is prime and } p \leq n\}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will also conclude other related results related to $\pi(n)$ as now that $\pi(n) \sim na_n$ and we will estimate $\frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{n}{\pi(n)}$ showing that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{n}{\pi(n)}$ is convergent to a real number between 2 and 3.

1.2. **Classical results.** How prime numbers are distributed among positive integers plays an important role in number theory. Let $\pi(x)$ be the prime-counting function that gives the number of primes less than or equal to x for any real number x.

The Prime Number Theorem gives the asymptotic behaviour of distribution of prime numbers. It was proved by Hadamard and de la Vallée-Poussin in 1896 using complex theory (see [3] and [7]). A more elementary proof was given by Erdös and Selberg in 1948 (see [2] and [6]). This classical result states that

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A41, Secondary 11B37.

Key words and phrases. Prime-counting function, recurrent sequence, prime number theorem.

[1] Partially supported by MINECO project MTM2014-53241, Generalitat Valenciana project AICO/2016/030 and Universitat Jaume I project P1-1B2014-35 .

and also that

$$\pi(x) \sim Li(x),$$

where the asymptotic notation $f(x) \sim g(x)$ means

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1,$$

where x could denote either a real or a positive integer and the Logarithmic Integral Function $Li(x)$ is given by

$$Li(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} dt,$$

for any $x \geq 2$. We will consider the Harmonic numbers H_n given by

$$H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well-known that

$$0 < H_n - \log n - \gamma < \frac{1}{n}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\gamma \approx 0'577$ denotes the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

1.3. **Approaching the frequency a_n: sieving the positive integers.** Fix n in $\mathbb{N}$, consider a *good integer* M (to be determined later) and let $A_0 = \{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$. For any $1 \leq k \leq n$, we will sieve some numbers up to M and we will obtain a sequence of decreasing subsets $A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq A_n$ and a decreasing sequence of real numbers $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ given by the frequency $a_k = \frac{|A_k|}{M}$ for any k.

Example 1.1. Fix $n = 4$. We will study the frequencies a_k for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Consider $M = 576$ and $A_0 = \{1, 2, \cdots, 576\}$.

Step 1. For $k = 1$ we start from the set A_0 and choose the subset A_1 of all the multiples of 1, that is, the whole A_0. The frequency a_1 is given by $a_1 = \frac{|A_1|}{|A_0|} = 1$.

Step 2. For $k = 2$, we start from the previous set A_1 of $|A_1| = 576$ integers. We consider the subset B_2 by keeping every number in A_1 from the first one by counting up in increments of 2 and crossing out the remaining ones, that is, $B_2 = \{1, 3, 5, \cdots, 575\}$, so $|B_2| = 288$. Now we apply the previous step (step 1) to B_2, that is, we consider all the elements of B_2, which gives the set $C_2 = \{1, 3, 5, \cdots, 575\}$. The set A_2 is given by $A_1 \setminus C_2$ and, since $C_2 \subset A_1$, we have that $|A_2| = 288$ and the frequency a_2 is given by $\frac{|A_2|}{M} = 288/576 = 0.5$.

Step 3. For $k = 3$, we start from the previous set A_2 of $|A_2| = 288$ integers, that is, $A_2 = \{2, 4, 6, \cdots, 576\}$. We consider the subset B_3 by keeping every number in A_2 from the first one by counting up in increments of 3 and crossing out the remaining ones, that is, $B_3 = \{2, 8, 14, 20, \cdots, 566, 572\}$, so $|B_3| = 288/3 = 96$. We apply the previous step (step 2) to B_3, that is, we

keep numbers in B_3 from the first one by counting up in increments of 2 and crossing up the remaining ones, that is, $C_3 = \{2, 14, 26, \cdots, 566\}$ satisfying $|C_3| = \frac{|A_2|}{M}|B_3| = 48$ numbers. The set A_3 is given by $A_2 \setminus C_3$ and, since $C_3 \subset A_2$, we have that $|A_3| = 288 - 48 = 240$ and the frequency a_3 is given by $\frac{|A_3|}{M} = 240/512 = 5/12$.

Step 4. For $n = 4$, we start from the previous set A_3 of $|A_3| = 240$ integers. We consider the subset B_4 by keeping every number in A_3 from the first one by counting up in increments of 4 and crossing out the remaining ones, so $|B_4| = 60$. We apply the previous step (step 3) to B_4 which gives the set C_4 satisfying $|C_4| = \frac{|A_3|}{M}|B_4| = 5/12 \cdot 60 = 25$ numbers. The set A_4 is given by $A_3 \setminus C_4$ and since $C_4 \subset A_3$, we have that $|A_4| = 240 - 25 = 215$ and the frequency a_4 is given by $\frac{|A_4|}{M} = 215/576$.

To define the frequency a_k for any $k \geq 4$, fix $n \geq k$ and consider a good integer M for n (to be determined later). Suppose A_{k-1} and $a_{k-1} = \frac{|A_{k-1}|}{M}$ are defined.

Step k. We start from the previous set A_{k-1} of $|A_{k-1}| = a_{k-1}M$ integers. We consider the subset B_k by keeping every number in A_{k-1} from the first one by counting up in increments of k and crossing out the remaining ones. We apply the previous step (step k-1) to B_k which gives the set C_k satisfying $|C_k| = \frac{|A_{k-1}|}{M}|B_k| = \frac{|A_{k-1}|^2}{Mk} = a_{k-1}|B_k|$. The set A_k is given by $A_{k-1} \setminus C_k$ and since $C_k \subset A_{k-1}$, we have that $|A_k| = |A_{k-1}| - |C_k|$ and the frequency a_k is given by $a_k = \frac{|A_k|}{M}$.

Remark 1.2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. In order to define the frequencies a_k, we can interchange the action to construct sets B_k and C_k and frequencies a_k do not change. This means that for any k, we can start from the previous set A_{k-1} of $|A_{k-1}| = a_{k-1}M$ integers. We can apply the previous step (step k-1) to A_{k-1} which gives the set B_k satisfying $|B_k| = |A_{k-1}|a_{k-1}$. We can consider the subset C_k of B_k by keeping every number in B_k from the first one by counting up in increments of k and crossing out the remaining ones. The set A_k is given by $A_{k-1} \setminus C_k$, we have that $|A_k| = |A_{k-1}| - |C_k|$ and $a_k = \frac{|A_k|}{M}$.

1.4. **A good integer M.** Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$ it is necessary to determine good integers M to make the construction above.

Definition 1.3. A good integer M is given by a positive integer such that in any step k we can sieve the set A_{k-1} correctly. That is, $|A_{k-1}|$ must be multiple of k in order to construct B_k and since we need to apply the previous step in B_k, we need that $|A_{k-1}||B_k|$ is multiple of M, so $\frac{|A_{k-1}|^2}{kM}$ must be an integer.

The following lemma is clear from definition of A_k.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a good integer M for n. For any $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have that*

$$|A_k| = |A_{k-1}| - \frac{|A_{k-1}|^2}{kM}. \tag{1.2}$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We look for a good integer M for n. Notice that the set A_1 satisfies that $|A_1| = 1M = M$ so to assure that $|A_1|$ is an integer, it is sufficient to consider $M = 1$.

Now, $|A_2| = |A_1| - |A_1|^2/2M = M - M^2/M = M/2$, so it is sufficient to consider $M = 2$ to assure that $|A_2|$ is an integer. Fix $2 < k \leq n$. If $|A_{k-1}| = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}M$, we have that $M = \beta$ is sufficient. Since

$$|A_k| = |A_{k-1}| - \frac{|A_{k-1}|^2}{kM} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2 k}\right) M = \frac{\alpha\beta k - \alpha^2}{\beta^2 k} M,$$

it is clear that from step $k-1$ to step k we change β by $\beta^2 k$. Hence, we can give a sequence of good integers M for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 1.5. *A good integer for $n = 1$ is given by $M_1 = 1$ and the recurrence $M_n = n\beta^2 = nM_{n-1}^2$ gives good integers M_n for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Notice that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any multiple M of M_n is also a good integer for n since we can still sieve correctly the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, M\}$.

From the recurrence, it is easy by induction that

Proposition 1.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

$$M_n = 2^{2^{n-2}} 3^{2^{n-3}} 4^{2^{n-4}} \cdots (n-1)^{2^1} n^{2^0}.$$

1.5. **The frequencies a_n.** Notice that the frequency a_n is given by $\frac{|A_n|}{M}$. It is clear that this expression does not depends on M since it is homogeneous of degree 0 on M. We have used good integers M in order to sieve the sets A_n correctly but we can avoid this by considering upper limits when $M \to \infty$:

Proposition 1.7. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$a_n = \limsup_{M \to \infty} \frac{|A_n|}{M}.$$

Dividing by M in expression (1.2) in Lemma 1.4, we can express a_n by $a_1 = 1$ and

$$a_n = a_{n-1}\left(1 - \frac{a_{n-1}}{n}\right).$$

Hence,

Definition 1.8. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the frequency a_n by $a_1 = 1$ and

$$a_n = a_{n-1}\left(1 - \frac{a_{n-1}}{n}\right)$$

for any $n \geq 2$. We will denote by $c(n)$ the sum $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$.

Remark 1.9. Notice that

$$a_n = a_{n-1} - \frac{a_{n-1}^2}{n},$$

so $a_n - a_{n-1} = -\frac{a_{n-1}^2}{n}$. Roughly speaking, this means that the "derivative" of "a_{n-1}" equals "$-a_{n-1}^2/n$". Notice that the function $y = \frac{1}{\log x}$ also satisfies $y' = \frac{-1}{x}\frac{1}{\log^2 x}$ so, as we will show, the behaviour of a_n will be similar to $\frac{1}{\log n}$.

2. Results

The following lemma is an easy calculation.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $n \geq 2$, we have that*

$$\frac{1}{a_n} = \frac{1}{a_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{n - a_{n-1}}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *The series*

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k-1}}{k(k - a_{k-1})}$$

is convergent to $S \approx 0.662834$.

Proof. It is clear that the series is convergent since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2}$ is convergent and $0 \leq a_k \leq 1$. An easy computation estimates the value of the sum. □

The following proposition states that $1/a_n$ is very close to $\log n$. Indeed,

Proposition 2.3. *Let $b_n = \frac{1}{a_n} - \log n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

a) *The sequence (b_n) is bounded:*

$$\frac{1}{2} + \gamma < b_n < 1 + \gamma.$$

b) *The sequence b_n is increasing.*

c) *The sequence b_n is convergent to $\gamma + S \approx 1.24005$.*

Proof. a) Using Lemma 2.1, we have that for any $k \geq 2$,

$$b_k - b_{k-1} = \frac{1}{k - a_{k-1}} + \log(k-1) - \log k. \tag{2.1}$$

Since $b_1 = 1$ and considering equality (2.1) for indexes from 2 to n, we do a telescoping sum and obtain

$$b_n = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k - a_{k-1}} - \log n. \tag{2.2}$$

Bearing in mind that $a_1 = 1$ and $0 \leq a_n \leq 1$ for any $n \geq 2$, we have making easy calculations that

$$\frac{1}{2} + H_n - \log n < b_n < 1 - \frac{1}{n} + H_n - \log n,$$

so bearing in mind inequality (1.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}+\gamma<b_n<1+\gamma$$

and we are done.

b) We will show that $b_n > b_{n-1}$ for any $n \geq 2$. This is true if and only if

$$\frac{1}{a_n}-\log n-\left(\frac{1}{a_{n-1}}-\log(n-1)\right)>0,$$

if and only if

$$\frac{1}{a_n}-\frac{1}{a_{n-1}}-\log\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)>0.$$

Using definition of the sequence a_n, this inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{a_{n-1}}{na_n}-\log\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)>0 \text{ if and only if } a_{n-1}>\log\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^n a_n.$$

Notice that (a_n) is decreasing since $a_n - a_{n-1} = -\frac{a_{n-1}^2}{n} < 0$, so

$$a_{n-1}>a_n>\log\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^n a_n,$$

where last inequality is true since $\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^n$ is an increasing sequence which tends to e and $\log x$ is an increasing function on its domain.

c) Since (b_n) is increasing and bounded, it is convergent to some limit ℓ. It is clear that

$$b_n=H_n-\log n+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{k-a_{k-1}}-\frac{1}{k}\right)=H_n-\log n+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\frac{a_{k-1}}{k(k-a_{k-1})}.$$

Last sum is a convergent series to S by Lemma 2.2. Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n=\lim_{n\to\infty} H_n-\log n+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\frac{a_k}{k(k-a_k)}=\gamma+S$$

and we are done. □

Corollary 2.4. *We have that* $\frac{1}{a_n}\sim\log n$.

Notice that from equality (2.2) in Proposition 2.3, we have that

Corollary 2.5. *For any* $n \geq 2$ *we have*

$$\frac{1}{a_n}=1+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\frac{1}{k-a_{k-1}}.$$

The following lemma is an easy consequence of $\pi(x) \sim Li(x)$ and integral calculus.

Lemma 2.6. *Consider the function*

$$q(n) = \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{\log k}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\pi(n) \sim q(n)$.

Lemma 2.7. *For any real number C and an integer number $m \geq 2$, we consider the sequence*

$$x_{m,C} = \sum_{n=2}^{m} \frac{1}{\log(n) + C}.$$

Then, $x_{m,C}$ is divergent and $x_{m,C_1} \sim x_{m,C_2}$ for any $C_1, C_2 \geq 0$.

Proof. It is well-known that $x_{m,1}$ is a divergent series and by the limit criterium, $x_{m,C}$ is also divergent for any $C \geq 0$. Hence, the limit

$$\ell = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{x_{m,C_1}}{x_{m,C_2}}$$

can be calculated using the Stolz criterium, so we obtain that

$$\ell = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\frac{1}{\log(m+1)+C_1}}{\frac{1}{\log(m+1)+C_2}} = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\log(m+1)+C_2}{\log(m+1)+C_1} = 1.$$

Theorem 2.8. *The sum $c(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$ satisfies $c(n) \sim \pi(n)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.5,

$$\frac{1}{2} + H_k < \frac{1}{a_k} < 1 + H_k - \frac{1}{k}.$$

By inequality 1.1, we have that $\log k + \gamma < H_k < \log k + \gamma + \frac{1}{k}$, so

$$1 + \log k < \frac{1}{2} + \log k + \gamma \leq \frac{1}{a_k} \leq 1 + \log k + \gamma < 2 + \log k$$

for any $k \geq 2$. Hence, we have that

$$\frac{1}{\log k + 2} \leq a_k \leq \frac{1}{\log k + 1},$$

so bearing in mind that $a_1 = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\log k + 2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\log k + 1}. \tag{2.3}$$

Using Lemma 2.7, dividing by $x_{n,0}$ in inequality (2.3), we obtain that

$$1 \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{c(n)}{\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{\log k}} \leq 1,$$

and by the Sandwich criterium, we are done. □

Corollary 2.9. *We have $\frac{n}{\pi(n)} \sim \frac{1}{a_n}$ and $\pi(n) \sim n a_n$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, we have that $\frac{1}{a_n} \sim \log n$. Since $\frac{n}{\log n} \sim \pi(n)$, we have that $\frac{n}{\pi(n)} \sim \log n \sim \frac{1}{a_n}$. The other statement is also clear. □

2.1. **Evaluating $n/\pi(n)$.** It was first Chebyshev who proved (see [1]) that there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_1 \approx 0.92$ and $c_2 \approx 1.1$ such that

$$c_1 \frac{x}{\log x} \leq \pi(x) \leq c_2 \frac{x}{\log x}$$

for any $x \geq x_0$. The following result was obtained in [5]:

$$\frac{x}{\log x - 1/2} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - 3/2},$$

where first inequality is true for $x \geq 67$ and the second one is true for $x \geq e^{3/2}$. L. Panaitopol (see [4]) improved this result:

$$\frac{x}{\log x - 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log x}}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where first inequality is true for $x \geq 59$ and the second one for $x \geq 6$.

Hence, we obtain the following result:

Proposition 2.10. *We have the following estimates for $n \geq 59$:*

1) *We have that*

$$\left| \frac{n}{\pi(n)} - \log n + 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}},$$

so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left| \frac{n}{\pi(n)} - \log n + 1 \right| = 0.$$

2) *We have that*

$$\left| \frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{n}{\pi(n)} \right| \leq 2 + \gamma + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log n}} < 3.$$

3) *We have that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{n}{\pi(n)} = \gamma + S + 1 \approx 2.24005.$$

Proof. The first one is true by inequality 2.4 from the Panaitopol result. The second result is an easy consecuence from the first one and Proposition 2.3. To prove the third one, notice that from the first result we have that $\log n - \frac{n}{\pi(n)} - 1 \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$ so

$$\frac{1}{a_n} - \frac{n}{\pi(n)} = \frac{1}{a_n} - \log n + 1 + \log n - \frac{n}{\pi(n)} - 1$$

which tends to $\gamma + S + 1$ when $n \to \infty$ by Proposition 2.3. □

References

1. L. Chebyshev, *Mémoire sur les nombres premiers*, Journal de Math. Pures et Appl. **17** (1852), 366–390.
2. P. Erdös, *On a new method in elementary number theory which leads to an elementary proof of the prime number theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Scis. U.S.A. **35** (1949), 374–384.
3. J. Hadamard, *Étude sur les proprietés des fonctions entiéres et en particulier d'une fonction considerée par Riemann*, J. de Math. Pures Appl. (4) **9**, 171–215 (1893); reprinted in *Oeuvres de Jacques Hadamard* **1**, C.N.R.S., Paris (1968), 103–147.
4. L. Panaitopol, *Inequalities concerning the function $\pi(x)$: applications*, Acta Arith. 94 (2000), no. 4, 373–381.
5. J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math. **6** (1962), 64–94.
6. A- Selberg, *An elementary proof of the prime number theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **50** (1949), 305–313; reprinted in Atle Selberg Collected Papers **1**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1989), 379–387.
7. C.J. de la Vallée-Poussin, *Recherches analytiques sur la théorie des nombres premiers*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles **20** (1896), 183–256.

Alejandro Miralles. Instituto Universitario de Matemáticas y Aplicaciones de Castellón (IMAC), Universitat Jaume I de Castelló (UJI), Castelló, Spain. *e.*mail: mirallea@uji.es

Damià Torres. Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya - BarcelonaTech (UPC), Barcelona, Spain. *e.*mail: damia.torres@estudiant.upc.edu